### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P/63939/U11	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/051279	International filing date 28.06.2004	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01.07.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and	IPC			
H04L12/24, H04J3/16, H04L12/46					
	• .				
Applicant MARCONI UK INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY et al.					
This report is the international pr Authority under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination in ansmitted to the applica	report, established by the	nis International Preliminary Examining 36.		
2. This REPORT consists of a total	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied	. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. $\square$ sent to the applicant and	to the International Bur	eau) a total of sheets,	as follows:		
☐ sheets of the descript and/or sheets contain Administrative Instruc	ing rectifications autho	rings which have been a rized by this Authority (	amended and are the basis of this report see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
☐ sheets which superse beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	ede earlier sheets, but ver in the international ap	which this Authority con plication as filed, as inc	siders contain an amendment that goes licated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
• •	Bureau only) a total of (	indicate type and numb	per of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a		
sequence listing and/or ta Box Relating to Sequence	bles related thereto, in	computer readable forn	n only, as indicated in the Supplemental		
Dox Helating to Geducine	s risting (see section o	oz or the Aurimistrative	msu actions).		
4. This report contains indications re	elating to the following	items:			
Box No. I Basis of the op	inion				
☐ Box No. II Priority					
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	nent of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	invention				
☐ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cit	ement under Article 35( ations and explanations	<ol><li>with regard to novelt s supporting such state</li></ol>	y, inventive step or industrial ment		
☐ Box No. VI Certain docume	ents cited				
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects	in the international app	olication			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observa	ations on the internation	nal application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis renort		
25.04.2005		21.10.2005			
Name and mailing address of the internation	al	Authorized Officer			
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office - P.B.	5818 Patentlaan 2		Libration, chi		
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		Bernardini, A	of the state of th		
		Telephone No. +31 70 3	340-4209		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051279

## IAP28 Rec'd PCT/PTO 28 DEC 2005

_	Bo	ox No. I Basis of the report				
1.	Wit file	ith regard to the <b>language</b> , this ed, unless otherwise indicated o	report is based on the international application in the language in which it was under this item.			
			lations from the original language into the following language , anslation furnished for the purposes of:			
		<ul> <li>□ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))</li> <li>□ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)</li> <li>□ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)</li> </ul>				
2.	hav	With regard to the <b>elements</b> * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				
	Des	scription, Pages				
	1-16	6	as originally filed			
	Clai	Claims, Numbers				
	1-19	9	as originally filed			
	Dra	Drawings, Sheets				
	1/1		as originally filed			
		a sequence listing and/or any	related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.		The amendments have result	ed in the cancellation of:			
		☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos.				
		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the sequence listing (spec	eify):			
		☐ any table(s) related to seq	uence listing (specify):			
1.	□ had Sup	had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		<ul><li>☐ the description, pages</li><li>☐ the claims, Nos.</li></ul>				
		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the sequence listing (spec	ify):			
		any table(s) related to seq				
	*	If item 4 applies, som	e or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-19

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-19

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-19

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

# 10/562761 AP29 Rec'd PCT/PTO 28 DEC 2005 International application No.

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/051279

#### Re Item V.

The international examining division has read the communication dated 19 April 2005 and states the following:

The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 02/100024 A (MARCONI COMM INC) 12 December 2002 (2002-12-12) D2: US 2002/174207 A1 (BATTOU ABDELLA) 21 November 2002 (2002-11-21)

#### 1. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1,15,16,17,18,19

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.

Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 1, discloses (see page 2 lines 2-14, page 4 line 16 - page 5 line 2, page 5 line 9 - page 6 line 6) a communication system comprising an SDH network and an Ethernet network, the SDH network having a management system to monitor the functionality of the network elements and being arranged to transport Ethernet information in SDH format, where the SDH network comprises a network element arranged to convert the SDH format Ethernet information into Ethernet information.

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs from the disclosure of D1 in that the SDH network element making the conversion can request the status of the Ethernet network element, in order to allow the SDH management system to update the status information on the functionality of the SDH network elements and Ethernet network elements. The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as that of avoiding to extend the SDH network in its entirety to the Ethernet remote site, in order to determine if the Ethernet network elements are functioning correctly. In view of D2 ( see paragraphs 3,4,8-12,106) the solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) The features disclosed in D1 and D2 would be combined by the skilled person, without exercise of any inventive skills in order to solve the problem posed. The proposed solution in independent claim 1 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/051279

The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of claims 15-19

#### 2. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-14

The subject matter of dependent claims 2-14 is not inventive (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

- 2.1 The features of claims 2,3,4,6,8,10,12-14 are a matter of normal design procedure for the man skilled in the art of SDH transmission networks
- 2.2 The features of claims 5,7,9,11 do not add anything inventive to the subject matter of the independent claims
- 3. In relation to the arguments raised by the applicant in the communication, his attentions is drawn to additional parts of D2, not previously mentioned in the letter dated 13 December 2004 (see figures 27,28,35,50a,paragraphs 106,151, and paragraphs 118,341).

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 2) (EPO-January 2004)